



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,299	09/16/2003	Hidenari Sakaguchi	P24222	1782
7055	7590	02/28/2006	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ROBERTS, LEZAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/662,299

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

020906

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The examiner notes that an argument to Applicant's traversal was inadvertently not provided in the office action dated February 10, 2006. In the interest of expediting prosecution, it is noted here.

The response filed January 10, 2006 electing the species of a catalase as a free radical scavenger, microcrystalline wax as a base and sorbitan fatty acid esters as an emulsifier, with traverse, has been noted. The Applicant's arguments for traversal have been noted but are not persuasive. The Applicant uses the example "a search for a composition for the oral cavity which contains catalase as free radical scavenger should cover many compositions which contain other free radical scavengers", this statement cannot be determined as true until a search is performed. Take for example the reference Nakano (EP 0273579), only two radical scavengers are discussed in the reference and they are enzymes. The reference is not a true representation of the various enzyme radical scavengers, and does not discuss the various nonenzyme radical scavengers. Compositions with microcrystalline wax don't necessarily include photopolymerizable polymers. The election of species requirement is therefore proper.

Lezah Roberts
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1614

Frederick Krass
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614